

Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire and Rescue Authority

STATEMENTS OF ASSURANCE

Report of the Chief Fire Officer

Agenda Item No:

Date: 28 June 2013

Purpose of Report:

To inform the Fire Authority of the introduction of guidance on Statements of Assurance and their requirement contained within the National Framework.

CONTACT OFFICER

Name : Frank Swann Chief Fire Officer

Tel : 0115 967 0880

Email: frank.swann@notts-fire.gov.uk

Media Enquiries (0015) 967 0880 Contact :

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The new National Framework for Fire and Rescue Services within England has been published and sets out a requirement for Fire and Rescue Authorities to publish "statements of assurance". The requirement is contained within Chapter 3 of the Framework which focuses entirely on "assurance".
- 1.2 Specifically, Section 3.2 states that "Fire and Rescue Authorities must provide assurance on financial, governance and operational matters and show how they have had due regard to the expectations set out in their integrated risk management plan and the requirements included in this Framework. To provide assurance, Fire and Rescue Authorities must publish an annual statement of assurance".
- 1.3 As this was a new requirement on Fire and Rescue Authorities, the Framework advised that Government would be providing guidance on the nature of the assurance statements. This consultation is related to the proposed guidance.
- 1.4 The consultation commenced in September 2012 and concluded on 15 November 2012. The consultation document acknowledged existing arrangements, but sought to bring the various elements together under one 'statement' which should be published annually.
- 1.5 At its meeting on 2 November 2012, the Policy and Strategy Committee received a report from the Chief Fire Officer advising them of the consultation and proposing a response by the Fire Authority. This response was approved and duly submitted.
- 1.6 On 14 May 2013, Government released its guidance on Statements of Assurance simultaneously with their response to the consultation responses received as part of the process.

2. REPORT

- 2.1 The guidance on Statements of Assurance is attached as an appendix to this report. In summary it indicates what should be included in any Statement of Assurance, but allows for flexibility to tailor the format and presentation.
- 2.2 Government has indicated that there are four key areas that should form part of any Statement of Assurance. These are:
 - Financial
 - Governance
 - Operational
 - Future improvements

2.3 To re-assure the Fire Authority as to the current arrangements in place, the following are all part of Nottinghamshire Fire and Rescue Service's assurance process.

Financial

The Authority publishes its statement of accounts, pay policy, transparency data, internal and external audit information. Each year the auditors are invited to the Fire Authority to give their findings and the outcomes are published via Fire Authority meetings, the Internet and contained within the annual report.

Governance

Each year the Authority produces its annual governance statement in support of its accounts. This statement expresses the measures undertaken to ensure propriety. In addition, the Fire Authority maintains a level of scrutiny of the Service through its governance framework, the reports of which are all publically available.

Operational

The Fire Authority publishes and consults on its Integrated Risk Management Plan (IRMP) on a three yearly basis to date (this will move to five yearly from April 2014). This process of consultation is robust and is in line with the Fire Authority's own consultation strategy which is based on government guidance.

Reports on various actions and proposals are all reported through Fire Authority committees and all recommendations and decisions are publicly available.

The Service undertakes peer reviews and has always published the outcomes. It has not yet openly stated that it believes all the requirements of the National Framework have been met, but this will be addressed in line with the next annual reporting cycle.

Future Improvements

Future proposals are contained within the IRMP and are consulted upon – any proposals which may affect the public are also subject to consultation and member scrutiny through the governance process.

2.7 A copy of the consultation response is also attached to this report at Appendix B.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct financial implications arising from this report. Indirect implications will relate primarily to Officer time required to collate, co-ordinate and publish the annual statement of assurance.

4. HUMAN RESOURCES AND LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPLICATIONS

There are no specific human resources or learning and development implications arising from this report.

5. EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

There are no specific equalities implications arising from this report.

6. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

There are no crime and disorder implications arising from this report.

7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The requirement to publish an annual statement of assurance is contained within Chapter 3 of the Fire and Rescue National Framework for England. Issued under Section 21 of the Fire and Rescue Services Act 2004, Fire and Rescue Authorities must pay heed to the requirements of the Framework.

8. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Under Section 22 of the Fire and Rescue Services Act 2004, the Secretary of State retains powers of intervention to ensure that Fire and Rescue Authorities are acting in accordance with the Framework. Use of such powers are seen as a last resort, however failing to comply with the requirement to issue a statement of assurance could place the Authority at risk of intervention.

9. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

That Members note the issue of guidance on Statements of Assurance and the steps already in place in Nottinghamshire Fire and Rescue Service to comply.

10. BACKGROUND PAPERS FOR INSPECTION (OTHER THAN PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS)

None.

Frank Swann CHIEF FIRE OFFICER



Department for Communities and Local Government

Guidance on statements of assurance for fire and rescue authorities in England

© Crown copyright, 2013

Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with the Crown.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit <u>http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/</u> or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: <u>psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk</u>.

This document/publication is also available on our website at www.communities.gov.uk

Any enquiries regarding this document/publication should be sent to us at:

Department for Communities and Local Government Eland House Bressenden Place London SW1E 5DU Telephone: 030 3444 0000

May, 2013

ISBN: 978-1-4098- 3879- 1

Contents

Introduction	4
Context	л
Context	4
Assessment and ownership	5
Content of the annual statement of assurance Financial	5
Governance	5
Operational	6
Framework requirements	6
Future improvements	7

Introduction

- 1. The Government is committed to unburdening local government; eliminating topdown bureaucracy and increasing local flexibility. For fire and rescue authorities, this ethos is demonstrated by the revised Fire and Rescue National Framework for England (the Framework), and in the provisions of the Localism Act 2011, which helps to let them run their services as they see fit.
- 2. This freedom and flexibility is accompanied by the need for accountability and transparency. Providing an excellent service is only the starting point communities expect to know how their services are being provided.
- 3. It is against this background that the Framework sets out a requirement for fire and rescue authorities to publish Statements of Assurance. It says:

'Fire and rescue authorities must provide annual assurance on financial, governance and operational matters and show how they have had due regard to the expectations set out in their integrated risk management plan and the requirements included in the Framework. To provide assurance, fire and rescue authorities must publish an annual statement of assurance'.

- 4. This document provides guidance on the content of statements of assurance, and indicates how existing assessment processes might feed into the statements in order to avoid duplication.
- 5. Guidance should not be taken to constitute legal advice. We have indicated what should be included in the statements of assurance, while allowing the flexibility to tailor the format and presentation. It is for fire and rescue authorities to decide how to best present the information with their communities in mind.

Context

- 6. Fire and rescue authorities are accountable for their performance and should be open to evaluation by the communities they serve. Information on their performance should be accessible, robust, fit-for-purpose and accurately report on effectiveness and value for money.
- 7. One of the principal aims of the statement of assurance is to provide an accessible way in which communities, Government, local authorities and other partners may make a valid assessment of their local fire and rescue authority's performance.
- 8. Where fire and rescue authorities have already set out relevant information that is clear, accessible, and user-friendly within existing documents, they may wish to include extracts, or links to these documents within their statement of assurance.

9. The statement of assurance will be used as a source of information on which to base the Secretary of State's biennial report under section 25 of the *Fire and Rescue Act 2004.*¹

Assessment and ownership

- 10. Authorities should assess their performance across a number of key areas. The areas to be included for assessment, and the methodology used, are a matter for local determination, although authorities will need to satisfy themselves that the measures and methods used are appropriate and fit for purpose.
- 11. The statement of assurance should be signed off by an elected member of the relevant authority who is able to take responsibility for its contents. It is up to the individual authority to ensure that this is done by an appropriate person (or persons) who can approve it on behalf of the authority.
- 12. Statements of assurance should be published annually by fire and rescue authorities. It is for fire and rescue authorities to decide when they should publish depending on individual reporting arrangements. The first statements are due for publication in the financial year 2013-14, and annually thereafter.

Content of the annual statement of assurance

Financial

- 13. Fire and rescue authorities are responsible for ensuring that their business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is properly accounted for and used economically, efficiently and effectively.²
- 14. It is a statutory requirement under the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011³ for authorities to publish the financial results of their activities for the year. This 'Statement of Accounts', shows the annual costs of providing the service and is determined by a Code of Practice⁴ which aims to give a "true and fair" view of the financial position and transactions of the authority. The authority is responsible for approval of the statement of accounts prior to publication.
- 15. The statement of assurance may briefly set out what assessment procedures are in place with regard to the authorities' statements of account.

Governance

16. The Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011 also requires authorities to prepare an annual governance statement in support of this statement of accounts. This governance statement is an expression of the measures taken by the authority to ensure appropriate business practice, high standards of conduct and sound governance. The statement of assurance may set out what work authorities

¹ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/21/section/25

² Section 3 of the Local Government Act 1999

³ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2011/817/contents/made

⁴ Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting - Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy

have undertaken to review the effectiveness of their governance framework, including the system of internal control.

17. Greater transparency is a key element of the Framework and is at the heart of the Government's commitment to enable the public to hold their authorities to account for the way they spend public money. In preparing the statement of assurance, fire and rescue authorities may consider the principles of transparency set out in the Code of Recommended Practice for Local Authorities on Data Transparency.⁵

Operational

- 18. Fire and rescue authorities function within a clearly defined statutory and policy framework. The key documents setting this out are:
 - the Fire and Rescue Services Act 2004⁶
 - the <u>Civil Contingencies Act 2004⁷</u>
 - the <u>Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005⁸</u>
 - the <u>Fire and Rescue Services (Emergencies) (England) Order 2007⁹</u>
 - the Localism Act 2011¹⁰
 - the Fire and Rescue National Framework for England¹¹
- 19. Fire and rescue authorities already consult on, and publish, their integrated risk management plans, which set out local strategies including cross-border, multiauthority and national arrangements where appropriate. The statement of assurance should include details of consultation on these plans, and confirm that appropriate information was provided to enable active and informed participation.
- 20. The statement of assurance may also indicate where fire and rescue authorities have entered into agreements and/or mutual aid arrangements with other relevant bodies. The level of detail included will be a matter for each individual fire and rescue authority and may be linked to their integrated risk management plan.
- 21. It is not the aim of statements of assurance to set out the operational procedures for fire and rescue authorities. However, statements of assurance are the appropriate vehicle with regard to specific events which raise issues of operational competence or delivery. For example, when advice is received under health and safety or other legislation, it is appropriate for the fire and rescue authority to use the statement of assurance as a means to inform their communities that these matters have been considered and, where appropriate, acted on.

Framework requirements

⁵ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/local-authority-data-transparency-code

⁶ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/21/contents

⁷ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/36/contents

⁸ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2005/1541/contents/made

⁹ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2007/735/contents/made

¹⁰ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/contents/enacted

¹¹ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/fire-and-rescue-national-framework-for-england

22. Where fire and rescue authorities are satisfied that the systems they have in place, and any specific measures they have undertaken, fulfil their Framework requirements, this should be clearly stated in the statement of assurance. Accordingly, where appropriate, authorities may consider making a simple declaration that the Framework requirements have been met.

Future improvements

23. Fire and rescue authorities may wish to include a section in their statements of assurance on any potential improvements they have identified across their accounting, governance or operational responsibilities to communities, particularly where plans are underway.



Department for Communities and Local Government

Guidance on statements of assurance for fire and rescue authorities in England

Summary of responses

© Crown copyright, 2013

Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with the Crown.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit <u>http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/</u> or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: <u>psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk</u>.

This document/publication is also available on our website at www.communities.gov.uk

Any enquiries regarding this document/publication should be sent to us at:

Department for Communities and Local Government Eland House Bressenden Place London SW1E 5DU Telephone: 030 3444 0000

May, 2013

ISBN: 978-1-4098- 3880-7

Introduction

The consultation paper on the draft *'Guidance on statements of assurance for fire and rescue authorities in England'* was published by the Department for Communities and Local Government on 20 September 2012. It invited comments by 15 November 2012.

A total of forty-five consultation responses were received, including one joint response. The majority (80%) of these were from fire and rescue authorities, while the rest were from other local authorities, professional bodies, representative organisations, and individuals.

This document provides a summary of the responses received, together with a Government response (in italics) where appropriate. It is not the intention of the document to repeat the content of the draft guidance, although reference may be made to it in the context of a particular point.

Overview of responses

The Government invited comments on a range of questions with the aim of obtaining views on whether the draft statement set out clearly what was expected of fire and rescue authorities in the production of statements of assurance, and, if not, how the draft guidance could be improved,.

The requirement to publish statements of assurance, as introduced by the Fire and Rescue National Framework for England (the Framework), received mixed views.

Some respondents were broadly supportive of the overall case for statements of assurance, stating that their authority had prepared an Annual Report that covered similar content and could be adapted for the purpose. Several commented that they had already published documentation that broadly covered similar topics in a reader-friendly format – although, in most instances, the information was not presented within a single document.

Other respondents were supportive of the concept of single documentation that improved public accountability, but thought that statements of assurance were not the best way to achieve this. They argued that, since statements of assurance were reliant on self-assessment, there was no apparatus for sampling or a closer examination of risk. They suggested that there were preferable alternatives for outward-facing, reader-friendly documentation which offered greater benefits in terms of service improvement.

Remaining respondents were concerned that the requirement for statements of assurance could result in some duplication, given that for a proportion of fire and rescue authorities elements of the proposed content were already reported, and to a greater depth, in existing documents. It should be stressed that this consultation related solely to the content of the guidance document on statements of assurance for use by fire and rescue authorities in England, and not the requirement for statements of assurance, which was set out in the consultation for the Framework. Although we have included the comments of respondents relating to that requirement, this was beyond the scope of this consultation.

The transparency agenda suggests that data should be readily accessible, easy to read and navigate, and be sufficient to facilitate an assessment of the authority across key areas. In line with this, statements of assurance should consist of a single, concise document that covers the critical elements of finance, governance and operational capability.

We acknowledge that fire and rescue authorities produce a range of documents covering financial, governance and operational matters and consider it unlikely that authorities would need to commission additional work in order to obtain the information needed for statements of assurance. Many fire and rescue authorities are rightly proud of the work they have undertaken in making public-facing documents readable and easy to understand. Accordingly, where appropriate, statements of assurance should make full use of such documents; incorporating links or extracts, as required, thereby avoiding the need to undertake comprehensive re-drafting.

Responses to consultation questions

Question 1

Does the draft guidance set out sufficiently clearly what is expected of fire and rescue authorities to complete their statements of assurance?

Some respondents considered that the draft guidance was broadly clear, with sufficient flexibility to allow fire and rescue authorities the appropriate level of discretion in how to prepare their statement. Other respondents stated that flexibility inherent in the guidance made it hard to follow.

Open format

Some respondents commented on the Government's intention that the format of the statement of assurance is at the discretion of individual fire and rescue authorities. Just over half of respondents approved of this approach, indicating that it would allow them to cater to the specific needs of their community. However, the remainder indicated that a defined template would ensure an element of consistency, enable comparisons to be made more easily and avoid an overly complex statement.

We recognise that there may be some value in providing fire and rescue authorities with a pre-defined template, in terms of promoting simplicity and consistency, and more easily enabling comparison between fire and rescue authorities,. However, the Government does not wish to be prescriptive in the way that authorities produce statements of assurance. If the sector or individual authorities wish to collaborate to produce a template which would satisfactorily meet the requirements of statements of assurance, we would have no objection to such a template being used more widely.

Several respondents referenced the frequent use of the word 'should' within the guidance with some suggesting that this word was inappropriate and ought to be replaced with 'may'.

The aim of the guidance is to allow fire and rescue authorities' discretion as to how to prepare their statements of assurance, as appropriate to their own specific circumstances. We have amended the guidance to ensure this flexibility. However, we consider that the word 'should' is suitable within a guidance document in certain circumstances, where additional emphasis is warranted, and have retained the word where necessary and appropriate.

Expectations

The draft guidance stipulated that some topics could be considered as being 'expected' to be covered in the statements of assurance, whereas there were other matters which were left to the discretion of individual authorities. Some respondents supported this approach, while others thought that it could lead to confusion.

We consider that it is preferable to allow authorities flexibility to judge for themselves what is most appropriate for inclusion in the statements of assurance according to local need and circumstances. We have therefore retained the flexible approach within the guidance. However, we have revised the text so that it is clear that such matters are for individual judgement.

Assessment mechanisms

Some respondents broadly endorsed the principle of including self assessment and improvement processes in the statements of assurance, while other respondents had concerns.

Among those with concerns, there was a view that the self-assessment process - in which authorities rigorously analyse their own strengths and weaknesses and are often subject to robust challenge from peers - may not be appropriate for public release.

Several respondents thought that, since the guidance contained no mechanism for benchmarking authority performance, the value of such assessment reports would be reduced, and may potentially prevent like-forlike comparisons between similar services. It was considered that the suggested assessment mechanisms for statements of assurance were too prescriptive and that this should be a matter for local decision, more in line with the localist ethos of the guidance.

We acknowledge that some authorities will have long-established processes for self assessment. In view of concerns expressed and to avoid prescription, the guidance does not advocate any particular assessment methodology or endorse the use of self assessment mechanisms. Fire and rescue authorities have discretion to use the assessment mechanisms they consider appropriate.

Sign-off

A few respondents requested clarity on who should sign-off statements of assurance as they believed this was open to interpretation. It was asked whether, in view of the financial content of the statements, the sign-off should come from the Chief Financial Officer as well as the Chair. Other respondents suggested that the statements should be signed off by the authority as a whole, rather than a named elected member. County fire and rescue authorities pointed out the structural and governance differences for them and asked for these to be taken into account.

The Government's intention for sign-off being done 'in the usual way', by an elected member, was to allow a level of flexibility from one authority to another, taking into account structural and governance differences. We wish to retain this flexibility and have amended the guidance so that it is clear that responsibility for sign-off is a matter for local determination. This will cater for the variation between the different types of authority.

Differences in fire and rescue authority governance

A few respondents said that the guidance did not sufficiently take into account the differences between metropolitan and combined fire and rescue authorities, which use bespoke finance and governance systems, and those that are county council based, which usually utilise corporate systems.

Many county fire and rescue authorities thought that the requirement to produce statements of assurance would involve a disproportionate use of their resources, since the finance and audit 'back-office' services were not dedicated, but council wide, with fire and rescue authorities being part of a larger directorate of council services.

We have modified the guidance to allow for the different accounting and governance structures in use by fire and rescue authorities.

Question 2

If the draft guidance does not set out sufficiently clearly what is expected of fire and rescue authorities to complete their statements of assurance, how could it be improved?

Most respondents welcomed the fact that the format of the statements would be left to local discretion. However, some thought that this could result in a lack of clarity and suggested that a template, or a more uniform approach, would facilitate standardised reporting and comparisons. A small number of respondents wanted the guidance to be more explicit about the relationship between the statements of assurance and the other statutory reports already completed by authorities.

There was a suggestion that the guidance could be improved by setting out more precisely what was expected of fire and rescue authorities: specifically, which topics should be included within the statements of assurance, and whether there were particular assurance mechanisms that should be adopted by fire and rescue authorities.

We have always acknowledged that the information contained in the assurance statements should already exist within a range of documentation held by fire and rescue authorities. The statements of assurance are supplementary to these other statutory documents. However, in our view, the link between the statement of assurance and other reports and documentation should be clear, either by the use of direct links, textual extracts, or short summaries,.

Role of scrutiny

Some respondents suggested that the guidance should place a greater emphasis on the provision of scrutiny committees. These were introduced by the Local Government Act 2000 with the aim of creating a separation of functions within local authorities, with councillors fulfilling an overview and scrutiny role. However, this requirement does not apply to fire and rescue authorities, and some have suggested that statements of assurance provide an opportunity to develop a more robust approach to scrutiny arrangements, rather than leaving this to individual authority discretion.

Legislation

Some respondents considered that the legislative references in the guidance were inadequate and should incorporate, for example, the Local Government Acts 1972 to 2000, and the Localism Act 2011.

We acknowledge that the references to legislation are not exhaustive. It is the intention of the guidance to focus on the key legislation rather than supplying anything more comprehensive.

Timing of statements of assurance

Many respondents commented that greater consideration should be given to the timing of the publication of statements of assurance. Some respondents commented that the references to 'draft accounts' should be clearer as, currently, this could be open to interpretation. For example, these could refer to those published in June, or three months from the end of September. Other respondents suggested that publication take place promptly after the end of the financial year to maximise its value to communities and to give fire and rescue authorities' sufficient time to prepare. We have given consideration to these comments. It is the Government's intention that, provided the statements are published annually in accordance with the Framework, the timing of publication should be a matter for individual determination. This then allows publication times to differ depending on the needs and the financial, governance and administrative processes of the authority concerned. It is our intention to retain this flexibility and we have amended the guidance so that this is clear.

Requirement for operational detail

A number of respondents questioned the need for information regarding the operational procedures in place enabling fire and rescue authorities to deal with specific single events, such as a chemical leak or outbreaks of civil disturbance.

Some respondents stated that the natural place for such consideration was the authority's own Integrated Risk Management Plan, since that was the document in which community risk is assessed and the response to that risk is set out. Other respondents thought that such a requirement went beyond the risk assessment requirements, as laid out in the Integrated Risk Management Plan, within their area. In general, respondents commenting on this point wanted the guidance to give fire and rescue authorities some flexibility on where such matters would be covered – whether in the Integrated Risk Management Plan, or other documents.

The Government's intention in including this requirement was to ensure that communities with an interest in seeing how their authorities had adapted to the particular circumstances of a specific single event, or reacted to specific advice from an outsider body such as the Health and Safety Executive as a result of such an event, could find this information within the statement of assurance.

We accept that fire and rescue authorities should have the appropriate flexibility, depending on local circumstances, to cover specific single events within their Integrated Risk Management Plan, if relevant, or elsewhere with regard to matters that fall outside the Plan, rather than include them in the statement of assurance. We have amended the Guidance accordingly.

However, where a fire and rescue authority has received a Coroner's rule 43 letter, or advice from the Health and Safety Executive, we believe that the statements of assurance are the most appropriate forum for providing assurance to communities on how they are taking forward that advice. The guidance reflects this view.

Question 3

Specifically, what would you change and what would you add?

Some of the topics covered in responses to questions one and one were repeated in the responses to question three. The key messages which most respondents focussed on are as follows:

- guidance could usefully be condensed by, for example, removal of unnecessary references
- the timescale for publication of statements should be made more explicit
- responsibility for sign-off should be clearer
- fire and rescue authorities should have discretion regarding where and how they report any operational issues regarding specific single events not covered in their Integrated Risk Management Plan
- the statement of assurance should not duplicate material that already exists in documents that are statutorily required and already accessible by the public
- it is important to give flexibility in reporting to authorities to account for differences between the different types of fire and rescue authorities, including counties

Some respondents considered that statements of assurance should cover expectations on non-core functions such as resilience, especially in relation to any expectations of reporting to Strategic Resilience Board.

Other

It was noted that certain referenced documents were due for a refresh and so consideration should given to removing these, where appropriate, or allowing a degree of latitude in their use (for example Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy's 'Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework').

One respondent questioned whether statements of assurance would be used as the basis for the Government's requirement, under Section 25 of the Fire and Rescue Act 2004, to report on compliance with the Framework.

With regard to the use of statements of assurance as a basis for Section 25 reporting, it was made clear in the Framework that one of the purposes of the assurance statements would be to provide independent assurance, not only to communities, but also to the Government. Statements of assurance will be used as a source of information on which to base the Government's report under section 25 of the Fire and Rescue Act 2004.

Some respondents requested clarity on whether there was link between statements of assurance and the intervention protocol.

The Government can confirm that there is no link between the intervention protocol and the statements of assurance; these are unrelated documents with different purposes.